

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/923,440

Applicant(s)

MATSUNAGA, HIDEKI

Examiner

Anh Ly

Art Unit

2162

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anh Ly.

(3) James Golladay (Reg. No.: 58,182).

(2) Jean Fleurantin (Primary Examiner).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12/19/2007 (9:00AM).

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kanai.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative discussed fig. 3, paragraph 0014 of Kanai does not specifically teach the limitation(s) of claim 19 (line 5 of claim 19) "setting an access right ... and separate from the object.", that would require further consideration and search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

December 5, 2007

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE: (703) 836-8400

FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787

E-MAIL: EMAIL@OLIFF.COM
WWW.OLIFF.COM**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET**

To: Examiner LY

FAX 571 273 4039

From: James Golladay

Your Ref.: 09/923440

Our Ref.: 110331

Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet): 2

Prepared By: JEG

Comments:

Fuji Xerox

Filing Date: 8/16/00

Sent By: JEG

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.

Reg. No.: 58,182

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/923,440 First Named Applicant: Hideki MATSUNAGA
Examiner: A. LY Art Unit: 2162 Status of Application: _____

Tentative Participants:

(1) James Golladay (2) _____
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: Dec 11, 07 Proposed Time: 11:00 am (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☐ NO
If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej 103</u>	<u>Claims 1-18</u>	<u>See below</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

IN VIEW OF THE PROTRACTED PROSECUTION OF THIS APPLICATION, FILED AUG 8, 2001, AND THE NUMEROUS PERSONAL INTERVIEWS AND WITHDRAWN INDICATIONS OF ALLOWABILITY, APPLICANTS RESPECTFULLY REQUEST SUPERVISORY PARTICIPATION WITH DECISION MAKING AUTHORITY FOR THIS PERSONAL INTERVIEW.

U.S. Patent Application Publication Nos. 2005/0149572 to Kanai and 2002/0046224 to Bendik, U.S. Patent No. 6,850,893 to Lipkin

Discuss features of independent claims, for example, defining a retrieval condition for retrieving an object, the retrieval condition being defined based on at least one attribute of the object, and setting an access right in association with the retrieval condition, with respect to the applied references.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.